Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Xiang-Jiu He,^a Emil Lobkovsky^b and Rui Hai Liu^a*

^aDepartment of Food Science and Institute of Comparative and Environmental Toxicology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

Correspondence e-mail: rl23@cornell.edu

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 173 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.001 Å Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.032 wR factor = 0.092 Data-to-parameter ratio = 15.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

(2*S**,3*S**,4*R**,5*R**)-3,4,5-Trihydroxy-6-(hydroxymethyl)-3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-2*H*-pyran-2-yl benzoate

The title compound, $C_{13}H_{16}O_7$, was extracted from fresh cranberries (*Vaccinium macrocarpon*). In the crystal structure, molecules are linked into a two-dimensional framework *via* $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds.

Received 7 October 2005 Accepted 23 December 2005 Online 7 January 2006

organic papers

Comment

Cranberries (*Vaccinium macrocarpon*), a native fruit of North America, have attracted public attention due to potential health benefits. Recently, cranberries have been found to be rich in phenolics (Sun *et al.*, 2002), to prevent bacterial adhesion in urinary tract infections of *E. coli* and stomach ulcers (Howell *et al.*, 1998; Foo *et al.*, 2000; Burger *et al.*, 2000), to exhibit *in vitro* anticancer activity (Sun *et al.*, 2002; Bomser *et al.*, 1996) and to protect against lipoprotein oxidation (Chu & Liu, 2005; Wilson *et al.*, 1998). The attractive bright red appearance and distinctive flavor of cranberries is recognized as a concentrated source of dietary flavonoids (Sun *et al.*, 2002), including anthocyanins (Zapsalis & Francis, 1965), proanthocyanidins (Foo & Porter, 1980; Hale *et al.*, 1986) and flavonol glycosides (Puski & Francis, 1967), as well as various phenolic acids (Schmid, 1977).



In our continuing efforts to seek bioactive components from fruits, vegetables and other natural products, bioactivityguided fractionation of cranberries was used to determine the identity of the bioactive compounds from cranberries, which inhibit tumor cell growth and may play a role in cancer prevention and therapy (He *et al.*, 2005). The title compound, (I), was isolated from the active fraction of cranberry extracts. This compound may be responsible for the antimicrobial activity of cranberries.

A view of the title molecule is shown in Fig. 1. In the crystal structure, intermolecular $O-H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds connect molecules into a two-dimensional framework (Table 1).

Experimental

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Fresh cranberries of Stevens cultivar (7.0 kg) were homogenized five times with chilled 80% acetone (1:2 w/v) using a chilled Waring

blender (Sun et al., 2002). The samples were then homogenized for an additional 3 min using a Polytron homogenizer. The homogenates were filtered and the filtrate was evaporated under vacuum at 318 K until approximately 90% of the filtrate had been evaporated. The residue was then recovered with 4000 ml water and extracted with the same volume of ethyl acetate three times, and then water-saturated nbutanol three times. The butanol fraction (204.0 g) was subjected to Diaion HP-20 column chromatography (55 \times 550 mm) and eluted with 3000 ml water, 30% methanol (v/v), 50% methanol (v/v) and methanol. The 30% methanol elution (16.50 g) was further isolated by a silica-gel column (230–400 mesh, 75×235 mm) and eluted with dichloromethane-methanol with a gradually increasing proportion of methanol. The title compound (I) (605.2 mg) was obtained from dichloromethane-methanol elution (10:1, v/v) as colorless crystals. Spectroscopic analysis: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , δ , p. p.m.): 8.04 (2H, dt, J = 8.7 and 1.5 Hz), 7.70 (H, td, J = 7.5 and 1.5 Hz), 7.56 (2H, td, J = 7.5 and 1.5 Hz), 5.61 (H, d, J = 7.5 Hz), 5.45 (H, d, J = 5.1 Hz), 5.20 (H, d, J = 4.8 Hz), 5.08 (H, d, J = 5.4 Hz), 4.62 (H, t, J = 6.0 Hz), 3.69 (H, ddd, J = 12.0, 5.4 and 1.5 Hz), 3.49 (H, p, J = 6.0 Hz), 3.37– 3.16 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , δ , p.p.m.): 164.8 (C), 133.9 (C), 129 (2CH), 129.2 (CH), 128.9 (2CH), 95.1 (CH), 78.0 (CH), 76.4 (CH), 72.6 (CH), 69.5 (CH), and 60.0 (CH₂).

Crystal data

| $C_{13}H_{16}O_7$ | Mo $K\alpha$ radiation | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| $M_r = 284.26$ | Cell parameters from 8636 | | | |
| Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$ | reflections | | | |
| a = 5.8022 (2) Å | $\theta = 2.7 - 37.4^{\circ}$ | | | |
| b = 7.6865 (3) Å | $\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ | | | |
| c = 28.3221 (12) Å | T = 173 (2) K | | | |
| V = 1263.13 (8) Å ³ | Block, colorless | | | |
| Z = 4 | $0.70 \times 0.60 \times 0.50 \text{ mm}$ | | | |
| $D_x = 1.495 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ | | | | |
| Data collection | | | | |
| Bruker X8 APEX-II diffractometer | 3448 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ | | | |

Bruker X8 APEX-II diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{min} = 0.919, T_{max} = 0.941$ 15473 measured reflections 3768 independent reflections

Refinement

| Refinement on F^2 | H atoms treated by a mixture of |
|---------------------------------|--|
| $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$ | independent and constrained |
| $wR(F^2) = 0.092$ | refinement |
| S = 1.06 | $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0678P)^2]$ |
| 3768 reflections | where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ |
| 243 parameters | $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ |
| | $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.41 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ |
| | $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.21 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ |

 $R_{\rm int}=0.024$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 37.8^{\circ}$

 $h = -10 \rightarrow 6$

 $k = -13 \rightarrow 7$

 $l = -48 \rightarrow 39$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$).

| $D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ | D-H | $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ |
|--|----------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| $\begin{array}{c} O1 - H1O \cdots O7^{i} \\ O3 - H3O \cdots O2^{ii} \\ O4 - H4A \cdots O1^{iii} \\ O4A - H4AA \cdots O7^{iii} \end{array}$ | 0.97 (3) | 1.81 (3) | 2.7607 (10) | 166 (2) |
| | 0.82 (2) | 1.88 (2) | 2.6774 (10) | 166.1 (16) |
| | 0.84 | 2.19 | 3.0121 (12) | 167 |
| | 0.84 | 2.19 | 2.953 (11) | 151 |

Symmetry codes: (i) x + 1, y, z; (ii) -x + 1, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{3}{2}$; (iii) x, y + 1, z.



Figure 1

Molecular structure of (I), showing 40% probability displacement ellipsoids and H atoms as spheres of arbitrary radii. Only the major disorder component is shown.

In the absence of significant anomalous dispersion effects, Friedel pairs were merged before the refinement and the assignment of the absolute stereochemistry is arbitrary. The methylhydroxy group containing atom O4 is disordered over two sites with refined occupancies of 0.889 (3) and 0.111 (3) for the major and minor components, respectively. The disorder corresponds to a rotation about the C5'-C6' bond. The disordered H atoms were included in calculated positions, with C-H = 0.99 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$, and O-H = 0.84 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$. All other H atoms were refined isotropically. The C-H distances are in the range 0.936 (15)–1.026 (15) Å.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

References

- Bomser, J., Madhavi, D. L., Singletary, K. & Smith, M. A. (1996). *Planta Med.* 62, 212–216.
- Bruker (1999). SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2003). SAINT-Plus. Version 7.06A. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2004). APEX2. Version 1.22. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Burger, O., Ofek, I., Tabak, M., Weiss, E. I., Sharon, N. & Neeman, I. (2000). *FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.* 29, 295–301.
- Chu, Y. F. & Liu, R. H. (2005). Life Sci. 77, 1892-1901.
- Foo, L. Y., Howell, A. B. & Vorsa, N. (2000). Phytochemistry, 54, 173-181.

Foo, L. Y. & Porter, L. J. (1980). Phytochemistry, 19, 1747–1754.

- Hale, M. L., Francis, F. J. & Fagerson, I. S. (1986). J. Food Sci. 51, 1511-1513.
- He, X. J., Lobkovsky, E & Liu, R. H. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, 04104-04106.
- Howell, A. B., Vorsa, N., Der Marderosian A. & Foo, L. Y. (1998). N. Eng. J. Med. 339, 1085–1086.
- Puski, G. & Francis, F. J. (1967). J. Food Sci. 35, 527-530.
- Schmid, P. (1977). Acta Hortic. 61, 241-254.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sun, J., Chu, Y. F., Wu, X. Z. & Liu, R. H. (2002). J. Agric. Food. Chem. 50, 7449–7454.
- Wilson, T., Porcari, J. & Harbin, D. (1998). *Pharmacol. Lett.* **62**, 381–386. Zapsalis, C. & Francis, F. J. (1965). *J. Food Sci.* **30**, 396–399.